FILED '09 SEP 08 11:29 USDC-0RE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

CHARL	ES THOMAS	HARDING,)		
		Petitioner,)))	Civil No.	07-1195-TC
	v. HOWTON,)))	ORDER	
	11011120117	Respondent,))		

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on June 26, 2009, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

1 - ORDER

Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed June 26, 2009, in its entirety. Respondent's response [motion to deny relief] (#20) is allowed. Petitioner's petition (#2) is denied. This proceeding is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this

4th day of Sept

2009

/

STATES DISTRIC